

ROBERT GLEGG

Tel: 416.399.5823
rglegg@outlook.com

August 25, 2023

**DELIVERED VIA EMAIL: Registry-greff@scs-csc.ca and
VIA COURIER**

Supreme Court of Canada
301 Wellington Street
Ottawa, ON K1A 0J1

Attention: The Right Honourable Justice Wagner, P.C.,
Chief Justice of Canada;
The Honourable Justice Côté;
The Honourable Justice Jamal;
The Honourable Justice Karakatsanis;
The Honourable Justice Kasirer;
The Honourable Justice Martin;
The Honourable Justice O'Bonsawin; and
The Honourable Justice Rowe

Your Honours:

**Re: Robert Glegg v. Justice for Children and Youth *et al.* and Hazel and Armando
Flores
Supreme Court of Canada File No.: 40576**

I am Robert Glegg, the Applicant in the Application for Leave to Appeal in the matter described below. This case is about my daughter, Olivia Glegg (“Olivia”). The Respondents in this case are Justice for Children and Youth *et al.* (“JFCY”) and Hazel and Armando Flores (the “Floreses”). JFCY is a branch of the legal system in Ontario with the purported mandate to help children.

Beginning in late 2015 the Floreses, and then in 2016, JFCY also, maliciously and illegally interfered in my relationship with Olivia, for whom I had sole custody. The incompetence and corruptness of the Ontario court system played an enormous part in abetting the behaviour of the Respondents, and in allowing the ensuing catastrophe with Olivia and me to unfold. In addition, given the highly irregular manner in which my file was recently handled by the Supreme Court of Canada (the “SCC”), I am requesting an investigation into my entire file.

This letter briefly outlines the key events that occurred in this case, including some crucial background before JFCY became involved. All the details are backed up by exhaustive and definitive evidence provided in the proceedings.

Background: illegal abduction of Olivia by her mother (my ex-wife) Tika, in Florida

Up until late 2013, Katalin Tika Van Den Hurk (“Tika”) and I shared custody of our daughter Olivia, both of us living in Oakville, as per our separation agreement, so Olivia could travel

easily back and forth between our residences. But then in November 2013 Tika chose to move to Fort Lauderdale, Florida, relinquishing her shared legal access to Olivia, and leaving Olivia with me.

Then in late August 2014, when Olivia was on a supposed nine-day visit with Tika in Florida, Tika abducted Olivia and enrolled her in a Fort Lauderdale high school. I received a letter from Tika's lawyer stating that Olivia would not be returning to my custody nor to my home in Ontario, and that she was now living permanently in Florida with Tika. My lawyer and I took this matter to the Ontario Superior Court of Justice and a judge immediately granted me interim sole custody, finding that Olivia had been unlawfully detained in Fort Lauderdale by Tika. Several weeks afterwards, *a second judge of the Ontario Superior Court of Justice endorsed the decision of the first judge, found that Tika had influenced and pressured Olivia to stay in Florida, and found that Tika had abandoned her function as a parent, especially one bound by the separation agreement.* I returned home to Ontario with Olivia in early October 2014. Four judges of the Ontario Superior Court of Justice were involved in this matter in 2014 and 2015, all of whom ruled decisively in my favour. In March 2015, the fourth judge granted me final sole custody of Olivia until her 18th birthday in July 2017. The Florida court issued Florida court orders that enabled me to use the rulings of the Ontario court in Florida.

In summary, because of Tika's blatant and abusive actions in abducting Olivia in Florida, the Ontario Court system ruled decisively in my favour, awarding me interim and then final sole custody of Olivia.

But Tika wasn't yet done. Even though she had chosen to move to Florida with her new husband and their two children, far from Olivia and me, Tika continued to directly interfere in my sole custody of Olivia. Among other things, in late 2015 she illegally signed admission papers for Olivia to go to the University of Miami, near where she lived, and surreptitiously engaged the Floreses (and later, JFCY) to act as her agents and hide her own involvement in moving Olivia to Florida a second time. The sequence of events with the Floreses is outlined in the paragraph below:

After Tika illegally signed Olivia's admission papers to the University of Miami, from late 2015 through April 2016 the Floreses (friends of Tika), acting on Tika's behalf, were in constant contact with Olivia by text, phone and meetings, maligning me and urging and pushing Olivia to move out of my home and into their home nearby. During this time Tika was also in continual contact with Olivia by phone and text, pressuring Olivia to leave my home. Finally Tika and the Floreses were successful in their shameless and illegal interference with my custody and in Olivia's and my lives, and Olivia moved into the Floreses' home in mid-April 2016.

JFCY's treacherous interference in Olivia's and my lives, and the gross incompetence of an Ontario judge

In mid-April of 2016 JFCY began its trail of destruction through Olivia's and my lives. Their manipulation of Olivia, and outright lying to the courts, began in April of 2016 when they bamboozled an Ontario judge who, with no knowledge of my sole custody, exhibited gross incompetence in giving Olivia independence without any notice to me, as required.

The application that JFCY submitted to that judge that day back in April 2016 to gain Olivia's independence was crude and amateurish. The judge actually told JFCY to return with more

information, upon which JFCY supplied an affidavit from Olivia saying that she needed independence immediately to stop me from meeting with University of Miami officials for fear that I would prevent her from going to that university. However, gaining independence seemed an extreme response to my advice to Olivia that, even though she officially had enough credits to go to university in the US, she postpone her application to the university for one year so that she could explore more subjects and broaden her horizons while finishing her final year of high school. Olivia was an excellent student, and when she told me, back in February of 2016, out of the blue, that she had been accepted at the University of Miami, I immediately knew that Tika was illegally involved in getting Olivia admitted, for her own gain, not Olivia's best interests, as I was very soon to find out.

I also told Olivia that if she finished her final year of high school I would support her financially to attend any university she wished, including the University of Miami. I had never had any intention of attempting to withdraw Olivia's application, and had never suggested to Olivia that I would do so. What I had requested, however, from the University of Miami, was Olivia's file to confirm my suspicions that Tika had illegally intervened in my sole custody of Olivia by signing her admissions papers.

Olivia's life with me in Ontario had been fraught with Tika's illegal and abusive interference ever since Tika had chosen to move away to Florida, including her illegal abduction of Olivia in Florida in 2014. Finally, in the beginning of April 2016 I initiated a motion in the Ontario Superior Court of Justice seeking a declaration that Tika had breached my sole-custody court orders. Tika responded with an affidavit in which, among other things, she denied being involved in Olivia's application process for the University of Miami, and denied signing the admissions papers.

Thus, the real reason why JFCY was attempting to gain a court order for Olivia's independence had nothing to do with Olivia being afraid that I would stop her from going to the University of Miami. It was Tika's frantic attempt to use Olivia, as a legally independent person, with JFCY's help, to legally block me from obtaining the admissions file from the University of Miami that Tika had surreptitiously signed, in breach of my court-ordered sole custody of Olivia.

However, unknown to Tika and JFCY, the university had already released the file to me the day before JFCY, with Olivia, applied for independence, and had mailed the documents to my lawyer in Florida, which he received a few days later, proving that Olivia's mother had indeed contravened the sole-custody agreement.

The beginning of the Ontario court system's cover-up

Tika needn't have worried, though, about any consequences due to her failed bid to hide her illegal involvement in my sole custody. Despite providing all the details of Tika's continual abusive interference with my sole custody of Olivia, including her illegal signing of the university admissions papers, along with the fact that, crucially, I was not given any notice, as required, before Olivia was granted independence, the judge in my motion against Tika stated that *since Olivia already had her independence, it was of no consequence what Tika had done. Yet he completely ignored the fact that the granting of Olivia's independence had clearly been an utterly incompetent fiasco.*

University for Olivia was not the real goal

In July of 2016 it became apparent why Tika had been so obsessed with getting Olivia into university. Although I had already paid Tika the full sum of child support for Olivia until her 18th birthday, as per our separation agreement, with Olivia now headed for university there would be additional costs to cover. *And so JFCY sued me for \$75,000 per month in child support.*

How the Ontario court system manipulated my appeal regarding Olivia's independence ruling

In August of 2016 the Ontario court system "heard" my appeal of the initial judge's disgraceful and irresponsible ruling of April in giving Olivia independence. Spectacularly, *they gave my file back to that same judge again to hear an appeal of her own decision.* Needless to say, she concluded that her first ruling had been without fault.

The Ontario court system's cover-up and JFCY's lawsuit against me for \$75,000 per month

With the Ontario court system corruptly covering up both JFCY's staggering malfeasance in destroying my ability to care for Olivia and their own judge's breathtakingly incompetent judgement in allowing Olivia's independence, it became an uphill battle to fight JFCY's lawsuit against me for the \$75,000 per month in child support which, as mentioned above, had no basis.

After countless legal steps, a judge in the Ontario Court of Justice blocked my right to depose key witnesses and then ruled in favour of JFCY. This judge's decisions violated and repudiated the existence of any semblance of fairness, appropriate legal conduct, or justice within the Ontario court system. Finally, one judge in Ontario's Superior Court of Justice called a halt to the entire corrupt process and ordered a trial of the whole affair regarding JFCY's child-support claim.

JFCY, fearing that detailed testimony in a trial by all those involved would decisively rip apart their lies and expose their behind-the-scenes deceit and skullduggery in working as an agent of Tika, dropped the lawsuit and walked away.

Ongoing harm to Olivia and me

Due to the malicious actions of the Respondents in concert with the incompetence and corruption of the Ontario court system, Olivia's and my lives were completely upended as if a wrecking ball had smashed into us, leaving our relationship in ruins. Olivia is now a young adult, but our relationship has never recovered, and I virtually never see her, and rarely have any communication with her. For any parent this is the ultimate nightmare. This disaster that occurred in our lives could all have been prevented if the Ontario court system had done its job, or, at the very least, corrected its mistakes. In a province that is considered to have fair and civilized institutions, the Ontario court system is rife with incompetence and entrenched corruption. Nor had I seen the end of it.

The Ontario court system and JFCY go to extremes to cover up their misconduct

In March of 2019 I received approximately 40,000 texts and emails from Olivia that included detailed and overwhelming evidence of the malevolent and destructive behaviour of the Respondents from 2016 to 2018. Without caring in the least about the fate of Olivia, they used her as a pawn, scheming to get her out of my home and destroy my role as sole-custody father to

her, and then initiating their baseless lawsuit against me, claiming \$75,000 per month in child support.

Soon afterwards, in the summer of 2019 I brought lawsuits against JFCY and the Floreses in the Ontario Superior Court of Justice with claims of fraud, conspiracy to commit fraud, inducing breach of contract, conspiracy to breach a contract, intentional infliction of mental and emotional suffering, and other causes of action pertaining to my daughter Olivia.

In attempting to stay my lawsuits, the Respondents brought two applications arguing that I am a vexatious litigant (the “Applications”). *However, it is the Respondents who inflicted upon me their destruction of my role as sole-custody father in caring for my daughter Olivia, and who brought their scandalous and unsuccessful lawsuit against me, claiming \$75,000 per month in child support.*

Again, the Ontario court judges, in their continued and corrupt cover-up of JFCY’s malevolent and treacherous behaviour, responded with a breathtaking decision: they agreed with the Respondents *that I was the vexatious litigant, not JFCY and the other Respondents.* This perverse and nonsensical decision violates any sense of decency or competence, and makes a sham and mockery of the Ontario court system. In their relentless efforts to cover up what went on, the Ontario court system exhibited a total lack of regard for the deeply destructive consequences of their corrupt decisions on Olivia’s and my lives.

Where does the judicial corruptness end?

To appeal the vexatious ruling, on January 27, 2023 I filed my Application for Leave to Appeal the decision of the Court of Appeal for Ontario with the SCC. The formal Court of Appeal for Ontario Order (the “CA Order”) was not available on January 27, 2023, and the CA Order was only sent to the parties by the Court of Appeal for Ontario on June 14, 2023.

Unbeknownst to me, *on that very day* that the CA Order was sent to the parties, June 14, 2023, JFCY, a respondent and a non-movant in this case, took it upon themselves to file my CA Order with the SCC. However, I, as the Applicant and the movant, am the only person with the right to file my CA Order with the SCC.

Not only was it beyond JFCY’s bounds to file my CA Order with the SCC, but it was also beyond the bounds of the SCC to accept my CA Order filed by opposing counsel. It begs the question why JFCY was in such a rush to ostensibly move litigation forward against itself unless there was some advantage to gain in a corrupt judicial system.

On July 27, 2023 I received the Judgment of the SCC which dismissed my Application for Leave to Appeal. But given the highly suspicious manner in which my file had been handled, perhaps this miscarriage of justice was to be expected.

On August 8, 2023 I wrote to the SCC to advise that it was unacceptable and against the rules that JFCY had filed my CA Order with the SCC when I am the only one with the right to do so; and that the SCC also defied the rules in accepting their filing.

The reply I received from the SCC made no mention of the fact that the rules had been grievously broken by both JFCY and the SCC. In addition, the SCC consistently removed my inclusion of JFCY from the subject heading in their reply to me and in their other correspondence regarding my Application, referring only to the Floreses. Furthermore, the SCC makes no mention of JFCY in the title of this case on the SCC website, referring only to the Floreses.

Contrary to people's perceptions of our "modern" and "fair" judicial system here in Canada, the actions by the Ontario court system and the SCC, for the reasons outlined in this letter, indicate that corruption and collusion are rampant at the highest levels of our Canadian judicial system.

There is nothing more fundamental, in the public interest, than the judges of the SCC exhibiting integrity, honesty, and fairness. In my case this has not been done. It is a weak and broken justice system that cannot acknowledge its mistakes and strive to improve itself, show leadership, and become an institution worthy of the public trust.


Given the abysmal manner in which my file has been handled by the Ontario court system, and the highly irregular manner in which the SCC has also dealt with it, I am requesting that the SCC conduct a review of my case in its entirety.

The consequences in my life, and Olivia's life, due to the incompetence and cover-up of the Ontario court system have been, and continue to be, horrific. Not only did they deprive me of my right to bring up my daughter, but they ripped her out of my life and me out of hers, destroying our relationship and changing our futures forever.

It is fundamentally in the public interest that the corruptness and incompetence of the judicial system in handling my case are brought to light, and that fairness and justice prevail.

I look forward to hearing from you.

Yours sincerely,



Robert Glegg

cc: Mr. Adam Wygodny, sent via email to: AWygodny@groiaco.com
Ms. Linda Plumpton, sent via email to: lplumpton@torys.com
Ms. Alexandra Shelley, sent via email to: ashelley@torys.com
Mr. Tosh Weyman, sent via email to: tweyman@torys.com
Mr. Jeff Beedell, sent via email to: jeff.beedell@gowlingwlg.com